

ELECTRIC TEETH: CHEMICAL REACTIONS IN THE MOUTH AND THE PHENOMENON OF ORAL GALVANISM 2014

By John Kall, DMD, FAGD, MIAOMT, and Amanda Just, MS

Introduction

When Jaro Pleva, PhD, published a study entitled “Corrosion and Mercury Release from Dental Amalgam” in 1989, he aptly noted that in order to accurately report on mercury releases from dental amalgam, “...specialist competence in the following fields is required: materials science, corrosion/electrochemistry, toxicology, medicine/diagnostics, physical biology, analytical chemistry.”¹

Not ironically, a number of years prior to that, Pleva, dentist Olle Redhe, DDS, and Mats Hanson, PhD and IAOMT Fellow, had communicated about oral galvanism with G. Wrangle’n, a professor of Technical Electrochemistry and Corrosion Science. At one point, Wrangle’n wrote to Redhe and Hanson, “The history of science shows that new, revolutionary theories often are met by ignorance and even persecution by established science. This reflects a serious defect in human intellect which someone ought to write a book about.”²

The comment by Wrangle’n is especially poignant because the science behind oral galvanism is an essential aspect of recognizing the hazards of dental mercury. In fact, Hanson suggested that it would be fitting for researchers to simply replace the term *oral galvanism* with the term *mercury poisoning*.³

The existence of oral galvanism can be easily explained; however, the factors that play into oral galvanism and its ensuing consequences make it a much more complex topic. Unfortunately, without this more detailed understanding of oral galvanism, the entire concept of dental mercury poisoning is missing a crucial part of its equation.

The cornerstones of oral galvanism: Demystifying the phenomenon of electric teeth

Suggesting that the mouth could be a battery and that teeth can be electric probably sounds blatantly bizarre to just about anyone who has not studied oral galvanism. Yet, the fact that such a situation can actually occur is quite elementary.

First, defining the technological term *oral galvanism* assists greatly in conveying information about this dental phenomenon. *Galvanism* is defined as “a direct current of electricity especially when produced by chemical action.”⁴ Thus, *oral galvanism* simply means electric currents produced by chemical action in the mouth.

Next, one must have a fundamental understanding of electricity in order to realize that circumstances in the human mouth could generate such currents. In the event one does not recall

the lesson on the basics of electricity from their days in school, the MIT School of Engineering's description of how a battery works provides a brief review:

There are three main components of a battery: two terminals made of different chemicals (typically metals), the anode and the cathode; and the electrolyte, which separates these terminals. The electrolyte is a chemical medium that allows the flow of electrical charge between the cathode and anode. When a device is connected to a battery—a light bulb or an electric circuit—chemical reactions occur on the electrodes that create a flow of electrical energy to the device.

More specifically: during a discharge of electricity, the chemical on the anode releases electrons to the negative terminal and ions in the electrolyte through what's called an oxidation reaction. Meanwhile, at the positive terminal, the cathode accepts electrons, completing the circuit for the flow of electrons.⁵

Northwestern University also offers an explanation on battery operation. They specify that the battery consists of an anode (-), a cathode (+), and an electrolyte. Chemical reactions between these result in electrons congregating at the anode, creating an electrical disparity. The researchers note:

You can think of this difference as an unstable build-up of the electrons. The electrons want to rearrange themselves to get rid of this difference. But they do this in a certain way. Electrons repel each other and try to go to a place with fewer electrons. In a battery, the only place to go is to the cathode. But, the electrolyte keeps the electrons from going straight from the anode to the cathode within the battery. When the circuit is closed (a wire connects the cathode and the anode) the electrons will be able to get to the cathode.⁶

At this point, one might still be wondering about how this highly charged situation could possibly occur in the mouth. Considering all of the available options for dental materials, the accessibility to metals to serve as the anode and cathode in the oral cavity is abundant. For example, research from 2012 by Zohdi, Emami, and Shahverdi entitled "Galvanic Corrosion Behavior of Dental Alloys" listed the metals that serve as the base of commonly used dental alloy types, including gold, palladium, silver, cobalt, nickel, titanium, iron, and of course, mercury.⁷ The research also identifies additional elements used as ingredients in these alloys.

As another example, Pleva reported in his 1989 study, "Though the combination of gold and amalgam is the most frequent case of oral galvanic cell, galvanic corrosion can occur between many other metals used for dental restorations." Pleva goes on to give an example of a stainless steel screwpost that impacted dental amalgam.⁸

Obviously, a mouth with any amount of metallic dental work has all the metals needed to produce chemical reactions, but saliva also plays a role, serving as the electrolyte, especially due to the contents of saliva, which can contain varying levels of calcium, magnesium, potassium, and acid.⁹ Zohdi et al. provide a simple explanation of the process: "In dentistry application, galvanic corrosion occurs when two or more dental prosthetic devices with dissimilar alloys

come into contact while subjected to oral liquids like saliva; the difference between the corrosion potentials results in a flow of electric current between them.”¹⁰

A Swedish study from 1983 by Nordenström describes how other parts of the mouth can also play a role in oral galvanism:

Thus, in oral galvanism the intraoral ionic conducting branch, formed over the saliva between two electron conductors, may combine with one or several parallel-coupled, biological conducting branches in surrounding tissues. These branches, for instance, may be represented by blood vessels and interstitial channels... A galvanic current through the circuit can now develop between metals of different electric potential even when these metals are separated by a distance.¹¹

Finally, an interesting aspect to emphasize here is that oral galvanism is widely accepted but marginally understood. There is general consensus that oral galvanism exists, as dental textbooks have included reference of it for decades.¹² Therefore, most dental authorities acknowledge oral galvanism, and Health Canada has even stated that “new amalgam fillings should not be placed in contact with existing metal devices in the mouth, such as braces.”¹³ However, when it comes to fully taking heed of the consequences of oral galvanism, the evidence does not appear to have significantly altered dental practices.

Factors that influence chemical reactions in the mouth and the impact on patients

It is not surprising that the term *oral galvanism* is often used synonymously with the term *galvanic corrosion*. This is because a primary action of electrical currents in the mouth is to cause corrosion, similar to the rust that appears on batteries, cars, and other metallic objects. Indeed, it is typically accepted that electrical currents in the oral cavity result in more extreme corrosion of the dental materials and that this, in turn, can result in more metallic releases. Zohdi et al. explain: “The higher the corrosion rate of the alloy, the greater the metal ion release and the greater the risk of undesirable reactions in the mouth.”¹⁴

This potential for increased metallic releases has certainly been confirmed in the case of amalgam fillings,¹⁵ which are known to produce mercury vapor and particles,¹⁶ as well as free mercury droplets,¹⁷ during the corrosion process.

A gamut of factors can determine the rate of corrosion, and research has identified a number of situations that can increase corrosion, including the ones on this much abbreviated list:

- the combination of gold and amalgam^{18 19}
- the different surfaces of the restoration²⁰
- the composition of saliva and dentin fluid²¹
- biting, wear and tear, increase in temperature, and acidic and salty food²²
- “‘injury reactions’ at the interfaces between gingival or root canals and the restoration metals”²³
- periodontitis or chronic periodontitis²⁴

Similarly, a wide-range of symptoms from oral galvanism have been reported in patients. Galvanic currents have been associated with sharp pains, and galvanic corrosion has been linked to metallic tastes in the mouth, allergy, and irritation.²⁵

Additionally, oral lesions,²⁶ blackening of crevice surfaces,²⁷ amalgam tattoos,²⁸ and *all* of the symptoms related to mercury poisoning²⁹ have been linked to oral galvanism from amalgam fillings.

Furthermore, the release of mercury particulate, which can occur due to oral galvanism and amalgam fillings, can cause releases of mercury with the potential to result in mercury-resistant and antibiotic-resistant microflora.³⁰ Mercury particulate has also been suspected of being able to produce methylmercury in the mouth^{31 32 33 34} and in the gut.^{35 36} (Pregnant women and children are warned not to eat certain types of seafood due to methylmercury.)³⁷

Conclusion

While oral galvanism has been studied for nearly a century, the number of dental alloys used since the 1980's has noticeably increased,³⁸ and more and more chemical combinations are occurring in the mouths of patients.

When it comes to oral galvanism, it is clear that established dental and medical practices have not yet embraced the science behind this phenomenon. In fact, as research continues to prove and examine human health risks caused by mixing different metals in the mouth, somehow the electric currents—and the denial over their intensity and consequences—continue to ensue.

At the same time, as biocompatibility is considered and utilized more often in dentistry, there is hope for the issue of oral galvanism to be resolved. The truth of this distinct possibility is evident in the recovery of some patients who have had dental materials harmful to their systems removed. While numerous studies have documented recovery of symptoms after safe amalgam removal, studies specifically about oral galvanism have likewise observed the improved health of some patients who had the incompatible, electricity-generating dental materials taken out of their mouths.^{39 40}

¹ Pleva J. Corrosion and mercury release from dental amalgam. *J. Orthomol. Med.* 1989; 4(3): 141-158.

² Hanson M. Electrical problems in dental fillings. *Bio-Probe Newsletter*. November 1990, 7-10. (IAOMT).

³ Hanson M. Electrical problems in dental fillings. *Bio-Probe Newsletter*. November 1990, 7-10. (IAOMT).

⁴ Merriam-Webster Dictionary. Galvanism. Merriam-Webster Dictionary web site. <http://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/galvanism> . Accessed May 16, 2014.

⁵ Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT) Engineering Department. Ask an Engineer: How Does a Battery Work? Engineering Department at Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT) web site.

<http://engineering.mit.edu/ask/how-does-battery-work> . Posted May 1, 2012. Accessed May 16, 2014.

⁶ Qualitative Reasoning Group at Northwestern University, Cognitive Systems Division of the Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science. How Do Batteries Work? Qualitative Reasoning Group at Northwestern University web site. <http://www.qrg.northwestern.edu/projects/vss/docs/power/2-how-do-batteries-work.html> . Accessed May 16, 2014.

⁷ Zohdi H, Emami M, Shahverdi HR. Chapter 7: Galvanic Corrosion Behavior of Dental Alloys. *Environmental and Industrial Corrosion – Practice and Theoretical Aspects*. 2012.

⁸ Pleva J. Corrosion and mercury release from dental amalgam. *J. Orthomol. Med.* 1989; 4(3): 141-158.

-
- ⁹ Ziff S, Ziff MF. *Dentistry without Mercury*. ChampionsGate, FL: IAOMT; 2014.
- ¹⁰ Zohdi H, Emami M, Shahverdi HR. Chapter 7: Galvanic Corrosion Behavior of Dental Alloys. *Environmental and Industrial Corrosion – Practice and Theoretical Aspects*. 2012.
- ¹¹ Nordenström <http://www.biologicaldentalhealth.com/dr-verigins-biodental-library/85.html>
- ¹² Phillips R.W. *Skinner's Science of Dental Materials* (7th ed). Philadelphia, PA: W.B. Saunders Co., 1973. Cited in Ziff S, Ziff MF. *Dentistry without Mercury*. ChampionsGate, FL: IAOMT; 2014.
- ¹³ Health Canada. *The Safety of Dental Amalgam*. Ottawa, Ontario: Minister of Health; 1996.
- ¹⁴ Zohdi H, Emami M, Shahverdi HR. Chapter 7: Galvanic Corrosion Behavior of Dental Alloys. *Environmental and Industrial Corrosion – Practice and Theoretical Aspects*. 2012.
- ¹⁵ Ziff S, Ziff MF. *Dentistry without Mercury*. ChampionsGate, FL: IAOMT; 2014.
- ¹⁶ Schriever W, Diamond LE. Electromotive forces and electric currents caused by metallic dental fillings. *J Dent Res*. 1952. 31(2):205-228.
- ¹⁷ Schneider PE, Sarker NK. Mercury release from dispersalloy amalgam. *IADR Abstract #630*. 1982.
- ¹⁸ Hanson M. Mercury poisoning from corrosion of dental amalgam. Dr. David Howard web site. <http://www.davidhoward.com.au/resources/article12.html> . Accessed May 16, 2014.
- ¹⁹ Pleva J. Corrosion and mercury release from dental amalgam. *J. Orthomol. Med*. 1989; 4(3): 141-158.
- ²⁰ Nordenström B. Biologically closed electric circuits: Clinical, experimental and theoretical evidence for an additional circulatory system. *Ursus Medical AB*. 1983.
- ²¹ Hanson M. Electrical problems in dental fillings. *Bio-Probe Newsletter*. November 1990, 7-10. (IAOMT).
- ²² Pleva J. Corrosion and mercury release from dental amalgam. *J. Orthomol. Med*. 1989; 4(3): 141-158.
- ²³ Nordenström B. Biologically closed electric circuits: Clinical, experimental and theoretical evidence for an additional circulatory system. *Ursus Medical AB*. 1983.
- ²⁴ Nordenström B. Biologically closed electric circuits: Clinical, experimental and theoretical evidence for an additional circulatory system. *Ursus Medical AB*. 1983.
- ²⁵ Zohdi H, Emami M, Shahverdi HR. Chapter 7: Galvanic Corrosion Behavior of Dental Alloys. *Environmental and Industrial Corrosion – Practice and Theoretical Aspects*. 2012.
- ²⁶ Bánóczy J, Roed-Petersen B, Pindborg JJ, Inovay J. Clinical and histologic studies on electrogalvanically induced oral white lesions. *Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, Oral Pathology*. 1979; 48(4): 319-323.
- ²⁷ Pleva J. Corrosion and mercury release from dental amalgam. *J. Orthomol. Med*. 1989; 4(3): 141-158.
- ²⁸ Windham B. Oral galvanism and Electromagnetic Fields (EMF): factors along with mercury's high volatility and extreme toxicity in significant exposure levels and oral effects from amalgam fillings. DAMS, Inc. web site. <http://www.flcv.com/galv.html> . Accessed May 16, 2014.
- ²⁹ Hanson M. Electrical problems in dental fillings. *Bio-Probe Newsletter*. November 1990, 7-10. (IAOMT).
- ³⁰ Summers AO, Wireman J, Vimy MJ, Lorscheider FL, Marshall B, Levy SB, Billard L. Mercury released from dental “silver” fillings provokes an increase in mercury-and antibiotic-resistant bacteria in oral and intestinal floras of primates. *Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy*. 1993; 37(4):825-834.
- ³¹ Sellars WA, Sllars R, Liang L, Hefley JD. Methyl mercury in dental amalgams in the human mouth. *Journal of Nutritional and Environmental Medicine*. 1996; 6(1):33-36.
- ³² Heintze U, Edwardsson S, Derand T, Birkhed D. Methylation of mercury from dental amalgam and mercuric chloride by oral streptococci in vitro. *European Journal of Oral Sciences*. 1983; 91(2):150-152.
- ³³ Wang J, Liu Z. In vitro study of streptococcus mutans in the plaque on the surface of amalgam fillings on the conversion of inorganic mercury to organic mercury. *Shanghai Kou Qiang Yi Xue*. 2002; 9(2):70-72.
- ³⁴ Leistevuo J, Leistevuo T, Helenius H, Pyy L, Österblad M, Huovinen P, Tenovuo. Dental amalgam fillings and the amount of organic mercury in human saliva. *Caries Research*. 2001; 35(3):163-166.
- ³⁵ Rowland IR, Grasso P, Davies MJ. The methylation of mercuric chloride by human intestinal bacteria. *Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences*. 1975; 31(9):1064-5.
- ³⁶ Yannai S, Berdicevsky I, Duek L. Transformations of inorganic mercury by candida albicans and saccharomyces cerevisiae. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*. 1991; 57(1):245-247.
- ³⁷ United States Food and Drug Administration, United States Environmental Protection Agency. What You Need to Know about Mercury in Fish and Shellfish [brochure EPA-823-R-04-005]. Washington, D.C.: FDA and EPA; March 2004. <http://www.fda.gov/Food/ResourcesForYou/Consumers/ucm110591.htm>.
- ³⁸ Zohdi H, Emami M, Shahverdi HR. Chapter 7: Galvanic Corrosion Behavior of Dental Alloys. *Environmental and Industrial Corrosion – Practice and Theoretical Aspects*. 2012.
- ³⁹ Pleva J. Corrosion and mercury release from dental amalgam. *J. Orthomol. Med*. 1989; 4(3): 141-158.
- ⁴⁰ Bánóczy J, Roed-Petersen B, Pindborg JJ, Inovay J. Clinical and histologic studies on electrogalvanically induced oral white lesions. *Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, Oral Pathology*. 1979; 48(4): 319-323.